

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		DISCOVERY (CI) LAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0112720 DA	TE: <u>7/22/10</u>	ARRIVE:	DEF	PART:
FACILITY NAME: PA	WS & CHERISH PET CR	EMATIONS		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 4340 NW 19TH A	VE BAY E		
	DEERFIELD BEA	ACH 33064-8710		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI	CD REPRESENTATIVE: OD: 10/22/2009 / 10/ (effective date) (end c	/22/2014	PHONE: (954)6 Mobile: (954)6 PHONE: Mobile:	595-8156 595-8156
		Facility Section		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-C		x) GNIFICANT Non-C	COMPLIANCE
	RODUCTORY MEETIN	G		(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s):			
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	resentative still LINDA M	ORGAN?		L Yes LNo
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administra still ?	tive update within 30 days	s?	YesNo YesNo
4. Will facility be condu- If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le	ay's inspection? east 15 days in advance?		YesNo YesNo

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – AnimalCrematory-#1,pri/2ndarychmbr,NGas,temp/opac.mon200#/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check 🗹	only one
1	a Complete AC application or if no AC normit initial CP registration received on or	box for each	question)
	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	No
2.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3.	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	No
4.	Date of last inspection:		
5.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes	No
	d. Date of last VE test:	— • •	
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes 	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	No
If yes, what reason?		

P	ART III: <u>MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (*	worst)
	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	YesYes	□No □No
c.	 Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	 Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes cally - Yes - - Yes	□No □No □No □No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		No
P	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	 If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		□No □No
2.	 If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	tion	□No
		(check 🗹	only one
P	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		□No
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	Yes? Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

IN COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – AnimalCrematory-#2,pri/2ndarychmbr,NGas,temp/opac.mon200#/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check 🗹	only one
1. a Complete AC application or if no AC permit initial CD registration received on or		box for each	question)
 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? 		Yes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the			_
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?		☐ Yes	□No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged			
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?		Yes	No
4. Date of last inspection:			
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	No
-Frances -	N/A	Yes	No
d. Date of last VE test:		_	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		Yes	No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		Yes	No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?			

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes 	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	No
If yes, what reason?		

P	ART III: <u>MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (*	worst)
	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $1,800^1$ $1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	YesYes	□No □No
c.	 Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	 Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes cally - Yes - - Yes	□No □No □No □No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		No
P	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		□No □No
2.	 If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	tion	□No
		(check 🗹	only one
P	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	question)
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		□No
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	Yes? Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

IN COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – AnimalCrematory-#3,pri/2ndarychmbr,NGas,temp/opac.mon75#/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check 🗹	only one
1	a Complete AC application or if no AC normit initial CD registration received on or	box for each	question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Yes	No
I	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
Î	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	No
2.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	No
4.	Date of last inspection:		
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		No
ı.	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes	No
	d. Date of last VE test:		
	 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		No No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes 	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	No
If yes, what reason?		

P	ART III: <u>MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (*	worst)
	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $1,800^1$ $1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	YesYes	□No □No
c.	 Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No
	 Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes cally - Yes - - Yes	□No □No □No □No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		No
P	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		□No □No
2.	 If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	tion	□No
		(check 🗹	only one
P	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	question)
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		□No
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	Yes? Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

IN COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 – AnimalCrematory-#4,pri/2ndarychmbr,NGas,temp/opac.mon75#/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR T	O INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1 a Complete AC application or if	no AC normit initial CD registration received on or	box for each	question)
	no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	Yes	No
	provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	ion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	Yes	No
	acity: lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.	_	
•	ruary 1, 2007?	Yes	No
4. Date of last inspection:			
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		_	
	n each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed y	vet within the current calendar year?	Yes	No
operation?	VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	Yes	No
d. Date of last VE test:			
	h the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? npliance during the last VE test? known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No
(

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes 	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standard	ds?	No
If yes, what reason?		

P	ART III: <u>MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (*	worst)
	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	YesYes	□No □No
c.	 Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	 Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes cally - Yes - - Yes	□No □No □No □No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		No
P	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	 If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		□No □No
2.	 If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	tion	□No
		(check 🗹	only one
P	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	question)
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		□No
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	Yes? Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes - 🔲 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

Facility Section (continued)

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 	ts or Ves	□No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

] IN COMPLIANCE

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

COMMENTS: